Generation of highly energetic electrons at reconnection outflow shocks during solar flares
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ABSTRACT

Context. During solar flares a large amount of energy is suddenly released and partly transferred into energetic electrons. They are of special interest since a substantial part of the energy released during a flare is deposited into the energetic electrons. RHESSI observations, e.g. of the solar event on October 28, 2003, show that $10^{36}$ electrons with energies $> 20$ keV are typically produced per second during large flares. They are related to a power of about $10^{22}$ W. It is a still open question in which way so many electrons are accelerated up to high energies during a fraction of a second.

Aims. Within the framework of the magnetic reconnection scenario, jets appear in the outflow region and can establish standing fast-mode shocks if they penetrate with a super-Alfvénic speed into the surrounding plasma. It is the aim to show that this shock can be the source of the energetic electrons produced during flares.

Methods. The electrons are regarded to be energized by shock drift acceleration. The process is necessarily treated in a fully relativistic manner. The resulting distribution function of accelerated electrons is a loss-cone one and allows to calculate the differential electron flux, which can be compared with RHESSI observations.

Results. The theoretically obtained fluxes of energetic electrons agree with the observed ones as demonstrated for the solar event on October 28, 2003.

Conclusions. The shock appearing in the outflow region of the reconnection site is able to produce energetic electrons. Their fluxes are in agreement with RHESSI observations.
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1. Introduction

During solar flares a large amount of energy is suddenly released and transferred into a local heating of the coronal plasma, mass motions (e.g. jets), enhanced emission of electromagnetic radiation (from the radio up to the γ-ray range), and energetic particles (e.g. electrons, protons, and heavy ions). The electrons play an important role, since they carry a substantial part of the energy released during a flare (Lin & Hudson 1971, 1976, Emslie et al. 2004). RHESSI (Lin et al. 2002) observations show, that $10^{36}$ electrons with energies of $> 20$ keV are typically produced per second during large solar flares (Warmuth et al. 2007). They are related with a power of about $10^{22}$ W. It is a still open question in which way such a huge number of electrons is accelerated up to high energies within a fraction of a second during solar flares.

Figure 1 shows the widely accepted flare scenario based on magnetic reconnection in a schematic manner. A prominence is destabilized due to its photospheric footpoint motions. The resulting upward motion stretches the underlying magnetic field lines leading to the formation of a current sheet. If the current within this sheet exceeds a critical value, the resistivity is suddenly increased by plasma wave excitation due to various instabilities (see e.g. Treumann and Baumjohann 1997). Then, magnetic reconnection can take place in the region of enhanced resistivity (called diffusion region). Due to the strong curvature of the magnetic field lines in the vicinity of the diffusion region the slowly inflowing plasma is shooting away from the reconnection site as oppositely directed hot jets (see Fig. 1). They are embedded between a pair of slow magnetosonic shocks, which heat the plasma. If the speed of this outflow jet is super-Alfvénic, a fast magnetosonic shock, also called termination shock (TS), can be established due to the deceleration of the jet. Such a deceleration can happen because of either an underlying post flare loop or a spread of the outflow region, e.g. by an increasing distance of the slow mode shocks (see Fig. 1). The appearance of TSs is predicted in the numerical simulations by Forbes (1986) and Shibata et al. (1995). Aurass et al. (2002) and Aurass & Mann (2004) reported on signatures of such shocks in the solar radio burst radiation.
2. Relativistic shock drift acceleration

It is well-known that fast magnetosonic shocks are accompanied by a compression of both the density and the magnetic field (see e.g. Priest 1982). Hence, they represent moving magnetic mirrors at which charged particles can be reflected and accelerated. That is usually called shock drift acceleration (Holman & Pesses 1983, Leroy & Mangeney 1984, Wu 1984). During flares nonthermal hard X-ray fluxes above 100 keV are observed e.g. by RHESSI (Lin et al. 2002) indicating the production of electrons with energies > 100 keV. Since it is assumed here that the energetic electrons are generated at the TS, the shock drift acceleration must necessarily be treated in a fully relativistic manner as done by Mann et al. (2006). Here, the relativistic shock drift acceleration is used to determine the resulting fluxes of energetic electrons. Note that these fluxes can directly be compared with RHESSI observations.

Following the treatment of shock drift acceleration in the non-relativistic approach (Ball & Melrose 2001, Mann & Klassen 2003), the vector of the particle velocity is transformed into the de Hoffmann-Teller frame, which is defined by removing the motional electric field. Note that the shock is also at rest in this frame. Then, the reflection process can be performed under the conservation of energy and magnetic moment of the particle. Note that all these transformations must necessarily be Lorentzian ones. As result, one obtains a relationship between the velocity components parallel (i.e. $\beta_{\parallel}$) and perpendicular (i.e $\beta_{\perp}$) to the upstream magnetic field before (i) and after (r) the reflection:

\[ \beta_{r,\parallel} = \frac{2\beta_i - \beta_{s,\parallel}(1 + \beta_{s,\parallel}^2)}{1 - 2\beta_i \beta_{s,\parallel} + \beta_{s,\parallel}^2} \]  
\[ \beta_{r,\perp} = \frac{(1 - \beta_{s,\parallel}^2)}{1 - 2\beta_i \beta_{s,\parallel} + \beta_{s,\parallel}^2} \cdot \beta_{i,\perp} \]  

(Mann et al. 2006), respectively. $\beta_s = v_s/c$ denotes the shock speed in the de Hoffmann-Teller frame, i.e. the shock speed projected to the magnetic field line. $v_s$ is the shock speed in the laboratory frame. Note, that all velocities are normalized to the velocity of light $c$, here $\theta$ is the angle between the shock normal and the upstream magnetic field.

Additionally, the reflection conditions

\[ \beta_{i,\parallel} \leq \beta_s \]  
\[ \beta_{i,\perp} \geq \frac{\tan \alpha_{tc}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_s^2}} \cdot (\beta_s - \beta_{i,\parallel}) \]  

must be fulfilled by the initial particles in order to be accelerated. The loss-cone angle $\alpha_{tc}$ is defined by $\alpha_{tc} = \arcsin[(B_{up}/B_{down})^{1/2}]$. $B_{up}$ and $B_{down}$ denote the magnetic field in the up- and downstream region, respectively.

The acceleration process actually represents a transformation in the $\beta_{\perp}$-$\beta_{\parallel}$ plane as illustrated in Fig. 2. For a shock wave with $\beta_s = 0.8$ and $B_{down}/B_{up} = 2$, i.e. $\alpha_{tc} = 45^\circ$, the initial particles located in the grey area left of the dashed line are transformed into the dark one to the right of it due to shock drift acceleration as indicated by...
Fig. 2. Illustration of the shock drift acceleration in the $\beta_\perp - \beta_\parallel$ plane for a shock wave with $\beta_0 = 0.8$ and $\alpha_{le} = 45^\circ$.

the arrow in Figure 2. This transformation leads to both a gain of energy of each individual particle and an enhancement of the particle density at high energies in the phase space.

As seen in Figure 2, the resulting distribution function of the accelerated electrons is a shifted loss-cone distribution (see Leroy & Mangeney (1984) and Wu (1984) for the non-relativistic case). If $f_0$ is the distribution function of the initial state in the upstream region, then these particles with the distribution function

$$f_i = \Theta(\beta_0 - \beta_{i,\parallel}) \cdot \Theta(\beta_{i,\perp} - D[\beta_0 - \beta_{i,\parallel}]) \cdot f_0(\beta_{i,\parallel}, \beta_{i,\perp}) \quad (5)$$

($D = (\tan \alpha_{le})/(1 - \beta^2_0)^{1/2}$) are finally accelerated. Here, $\Theta(x)$ denotes the usual step-function, i.e. $\Theta = 1$ and $\Theta = 0$ for $x \geq 0$ and $x \leq 0$, respectively. Now, a Maxwellian distribution

$$f_M(\beta_{\parallel}, \beta_{\perp}) = C_M \cdot e^{-E_{kin}/k_B T} \quad (6)$$

($k_B$, Boltzmann’s constant; $T$, temperature) is assumed to be the initial one. Here, the kinetic energy $E_{kin}$ is defined by

$$E_{kin} = m_e c^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} - 1 \right] \quad (7)$$

with the electron mass $m_e$ and $\beta^2 = \beta^2_0 + \beta^2_{\perp}$. If the distribution function is normalized to unity in the velocity space, the constant $C_M$ is fixed to

$$C_M^{-1} = 4 \pi c^3 \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon(2 + \epsilon)}}{(1 + \epsilon)^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-\epsilon/\epsilon_{th}} \quad (8)$$

with $\epsilon = E_{kin}/m_e c^2$ and $\epsilon_{th} = k_B T/m_e c^2$. Inserting the distribution function (6) into Eq. (5), the distribution function of accelerated electrons is found to be

$$f_{acc} = C_M \cdot \Theta(\beta_\parallel - \beta_{s,\perp}) \cdot \Theta(\beta_{\perp} - D[\beta_\parallel - \beta_{s,\parallel}]) \cdot$$

$$\times \exp \left[ \frac{(1 - 2\beta_{\parallel}\beta_{s,\parallel} + \beta_{\parallel}^2)}{(1 - \beta_{\parallel}^2)^{1/2}} \right] - 1 \right] \quad (9)$$

Here, the Eqs. (1) and (2) were inverted with respect to $\beta_{i,\parallel}$ and $\beta_{i,\perp}$ and subsequently inserted into the Eqs. (5) and (6).

In the magnetized plasma of the corona, the electrons predominantly move along the magnetic field, since their gyroradius is much smaller than the typical spatial scale length in the corona. Hence, the magnetic field aligned flux of electrons is of special interest. It is defined by

$$\Phi_{\parallel} = N_0 e^4 \cdot 2\pi \cdot \int_0^1 d\beta_{\parallel} \int_0^1 d\beta_{\perp} \cdot f(\beta_{\parallel}, \beta_{\perp}) \quad (10)$$

with $N_0$ as the total electron number density. Then, the differential flux is obtained to be

$$j_{\parallel} = \frac{d\Phi_{\parallel}}{dE_{kin}} = \frac{1}{m_e c^2} \cdot \frac{d\Phi_{\parallel}}{de} \quad (11)$$

Inserting the distribution function (9) into Eq. (10), introducing polar coordinates according to

$$\beta_{i,\parallel} = \beta \cos \vartheta \quad (12)$$

$$\beta_{i,\perp} = \beta \sin \vartheta \quad (13)$$

integrating over the pitch angle $\vartheta$, introducing the substitution $\beta = [e(2 + \epsilon)]^{1/2}/(1 + \epsilon)$ (see Eq. (7)) and, finally, performing the derivative with respect to $\epsilon$ (see Eq. (11)), the differential flux of the accelerated electrons is found to be

$$j_{acc,\parallel} = \frac{N_0 e^4}{m_e c^2} \cdot \pi C_M \epsilon_{th}^2 \cdot \left( \frac{1 - \beta^2_{\parallel}}{2\beta^2_{\parallel}} \right) \cdot \Theta(\epsilon - \epsilon_s) \cdot e^{-\epsilon/\epsilon_{th}} \cdot (1 + \epsilon)^5 \cdot$$

$$\times \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon_{th}} \right) \frac{2\beta^2_{\parallel}}{1 - \beta^2_{\parallel}} Z(\epsilon) - 1 \right] \cdot \exp \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon_{th}} \right) \frac{2\beta^2_{\parallel}}{1 - \beta^2_{\parallel}} Z(\epsilon) - 1 \right]$$

$$- \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon_{th}} \right) \frac{2\beta^2_{\parallel}}{1 - \beta^2_{\parallel}} + 1 \right] \quad (14)$$

with

$$Z(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon(2 + \epsilon)}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \cdot \frac{\beta^2_{\parallel}}{(1 + D^2)} \cdot \frac{D^2}{(1 + D^2)^2} + \frac{D^2}{(D^2 + 1)}} \quad (15)$$

and $\epsilon_s = (1 - \beta^2_{\parallel})^{-1/2} - 1$. $j_{acc,\parallel}$ is a function of the normalized energy $\epsilon$ and depends on the input parameters, i.e. the shock speed $v_s$, the jump $B_{down}/B_{up}$ of the magnetic field across the shock, and the angle $\theta$ as well as the total electron number density $N_0$ and temperature $T$ in the upstream region. Note that these parameters are not independent on each other but must agree with the Rankine-Hugoniot relationships (see e.g. Priest 1982). This analytically found expression (Eq. (14)) is numerically illustrated.
enhanced supra-thermal tail. Such a distribution can be
function to unity in the velocity space. It is plotted for the same temperature, i.e.
max of the differential flux is decreasing with increasing \( \theta \). For comparison, the differential magnetic field aligned flux of a pure Maxwellian population (see Eq. (6)) is found to be

\[
j_{M,\parallel} = \frac{N_0 c^4}{m_e c^2} \cdot \pi C_M \cdot \frac{\epsilon(2 + \epsilon)}{(1 + \epsilon)^5} \cdot e^{-\epsilon/\epsilon_{th}} \tag{16}\]

It is plotted for the same temperature, i.e. \( T = 10 \times 10^6 \) K, as the dashed line in Figure 3.

In-situ spacecraft measurements (Lin et al. 1996) in the quiet solar wind have revealed that electron distribution functions are usually not Maxwellian but show an enhanced supra-thermal tail. Such a distribution can be described in terms of a kappa distribution defined by

\[
f_\kappa(\beta_\parallel, \beta_\perp) = C_\kappa \left[1 + \frac{E_{kin}}{E_0 \kappa} \right]^{-\kappa-1} \tag{17}\]

(see e.g. Maksimovich et al. 1997, Pierarrd et al. 1999). In the limit \( \kappa \to \infty \), it transfers into a Maxwellian one. The constant \( C_\kappa \) is fixed by the normalization of the distribution function to unity in the velocity space.

\[
C_\kappa^{-1} = 4 \pi c^3 \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon(2 + \epsilon)}}{(1 + \epsilon)^5} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} \right]^{-\kappa-1} \tag{18}\]

The same procedure as previously described for the Maxwellian distribution is done for the kappa distribution for completeness. Inserting it Eq. (17) into Eq. (5), one gets

\[
j_{acc,\parallel} = \frac{N_0 c^4}{m_e c^2} \cdot \pi C_\kappa \cdot \frac{1 - \beta_\parallel^2}{2 \beta_\parallel^2} \cdot \Theta(\epsilon - \epsilon_\kappa) \cdot \frac{(\kappa \epsilon_\kappa)^2}{(1 + \epsilon)^5} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
-1 \\
(\kappa - 1) \cdot \left[1 + \frac{1}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} B(\epsilon) \right]^{(\kappa-1)} \\
\frac{A(\epsilon)}{\kappa \cdot \left[1 + \frac{1}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} B(\epsilon) \right]} \\
\frac{1}{(\kappa - 1) \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} \right]^{(\kappa-1)}} - \frac{A(\epsilon)}{\kappa \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} \right]^{\kappa}} \end{array} \right\} \tag{19}\]

with

\[
A(\epsilon) = 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa \epsilon_\kappa} \cdot \left[\frac{(1 + \beta_\parallel^2)(1 + \epsilon)}{(1 - \beta_\parallel^2)} - 1\right] \tag{20}\]

and

\[
B(\epsilon) = \epsilon - \frac{2 \beta_\parallel^2}{(1 - \beta_\parallel^2)}(1 + \epsilon)[Z(\epsilon) - 1] \tag{21}\]

for the differential flux of accelerated electrons in the case of an initial kappa distribution. The comparison of the theoretically obtained fluxes of accelerated electrons with the observed ones in dependence from the parameter \( \kappa \) is discussed in the paper II.

Figure 3 demonstrates that shock drift acceleration at the TS is able to produce highly energetic electrons for circumstances typically found in the flare region. The efficiency of shock drift acceleration is crucially depending on the angle \( \theta \), i.e. the angle between the shock normal to the upstream magnetic field. In the vicinity of the reconnection region, the magnetic field lines are flowing away from the diffusion region toward the TS as sketched in Figure 4. Thus, the angle \( \theta \) is varying across the TS due to the curvature of the magnetic field lines. Approximating such a magnetic field line by a circle with the radius \( R \), the angle \( \theta \) at the point \( x \) is found to be

\[
\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}}{x} \right) \tag{22}\]

\( x \) gives the distance of the crossing point of the magnetic field line from the center of the TS. In reality, the angle \( \theta \) is varying between a minimum \( \theta_{min} \) and a maximum \( \theta_{max} \). Assuming that the angle \( \theta \) has the value \( \theta_{min} \) at the outer edge of the TS, which has a half width \( d \), the radius of this assumed circle can be calculated by \( R = d \cdot \sec(\theta_{min}) \). Then, the variation of the angle \( \theta \) on the TS is completely given by Eq. (22). The value of the angle \( \theta_{max} \) is determined by the requirement \( \beta_\parallel < 1 \), i.e. the shock speed in the de Hoffmann-Teller frame may not exceed the velocity of light, resulting in \( \theta_{max} = \arccos(v_\parallel/c) \).
Fig. 4. Sketch of the magnetic field geometry at the TS. The magnetic field lines reconnected in the diffusion region (DR) are propagating away from it through the TS. The magnetic field line takes a local angle $\theta$ with the normal of the TS, if it is crossing the TS at $x$.

3. Discussion

In the previous Section, it was demonstrated, that shock drift acceleration is able to accelerate electrons up to high energies for circumstances typically found in the flare region. The electrons are accelerated at the shock and reflected back towards the upstream region. They get a substantial gain in the velocity parallel to the magnetic field, whereas the pitch angle is decreasing. Due to the curvature of the magnetic field lines in the upstream region of the TS, the accelerated electrons encounter the TS once again. But now, a substantial part of them do not fulfill the reflection condition (4) because of their low pitch angle. Hence, they transit into the downstream region and travel along the magnetic field line towards the denser chromosphere, where they emit hard X-ray radiation via bremsstrahlung (Brown 1971).

In the present Section, the theoretically obtained results from Section 2 are compared with observations. The solar event on October 28, 2003 is chosen for that, since it is one of the best observed flares.

In October/November 2003 several flares of X-class importance occurred. Especially, the event on October 28, 2003 showed a strong enhancement of the hard X- and $\gamma$-ray radiation (see Fig. 5) as observed by the SPI spectrometer (consisting of the ACS anti-coincidence system and a Ge detector matrix) aboard the INTEGRAL spacecraft (Gros et al. 2004, Kiener et al. 2006). During the time interval between 11:02:30 and 11:04:30 UT, the dynamic radio spectrum (see bottom panel of Fig. 5) shows a roughly non-drifting lane of enhanced radio emission at $\approx 300$ MHz. Evaluating the dynamic radio spectrum in the spectral range 40–800 MHz, it is identified to be the harmonic (H) emission, i.e. the fundamental (F) one appears at about 150 MHz. Since this lane shows the typical components of type II radio bursts, i.e. the (here nearly non-drifting) “backbone” and the “herringbones” shooting away from the backbone towards both higher and lower frequencies (see e.g. Nelson & Melrose 1985 and Mann 1995 for reviews), it is regarded to be the radio signature of the TS. Note that Aurass et al. (2002) and Aurass & Mann (2004) have already argued that such spectral features can be considered as the radio signature of the TS. Since the enhancement of the hard X- and $\gamma$-ray fluxes simultaneously appears with the occurrence of the radio signatures of the TS in this special event, Mann et al. (2006) argued that the energetic electrons needed for the hard X- and $\gamma$-ray radiation are generated at the TS.

Employing the Nançay radio-heliographic (Kerdraon & Delouis 1997) data at 327 MHz, the source of the TS is located $\approx 250$ Mm away from the hard X-ray sources as seen in Figure 6. The radio source of the TS (Fig. 6) has an area of $3 \times 10^{16}$ m².

Figure 7 shows the hard X-ray photon spectrum of the event of discussion as observed by RHESSI. The spectrum has been corrected for photospheric albedo (see Kontar et al. 2006) and pulse pile-up (see Smith et al. 2002) using the OSPEX software of the standard RHESSI analysis tools. Pile-up was treated with the new PILEUP_MOD function in OSPEX, which should give more accurate results than the old correction method. Note that the spectrum (see Fig. 7) has been accumulated from the front segment of detector 1 of RHESSI only, since PILEUP_MOD works only for single detectors. The photon spectrum reveals a thermal and nonthermal component. The nonthermal component is strongly pronounced above 28 keV. The non-
thermal hard X-ray radiation is assumed to be emitted by thick-target bremsstrahlung (Brown 1971). Then, the photon spectrum can be converted into a differential injected nonthermal electron flux, i.e. electrons per second per keV, by means of a forward-fitting method (Holman et al. 2003). Performing this procedure, a total flux of $F_e = 1.6 \times 10^{46}$ electrons with energies $> 28$ keV per second is obtained. It is related to a power of $P_e = 1.5 \times 10^{22}$ W (Warmuth et al. 2007). Both values are to be compared with the theory.

For comparing the theoretically obtained results with the observations, the basic plasma parameters of the flare region must be given. Since the TS appears at 300 MHz for the harmonic emission at the solar event on October 28, 2003, an electron number density $N_e = 2.8 \times 10^{14}$ m$^{-3}$ has to be used for this quantity in the upstream region of the TS. According to the twofold Newkirk (1961) density model for the corona, such a density level is located 160 Mm above the photosphere. There, a magnetic field of 4.6 G is expected according to the model by Dulk & McLean (1978) leading to an Alfvén speed of 557 km/s. In paper II, the dependence of the theoretically obtained fluxes of accelerated electrons from the plasma parameters in the upstream region and the shock (TS) parameters are investigated in detail and compared with electron spectra derived from X-ray spectra measured by RHESSI. The study shows that the theoretical fluxes agree with the observed ones only if high temperatures of the upstream plasma of about $> 20 \times 10^6$ K is assumed. Of course, the coronal plasma inflowing towards the reconnection site with typical temperatures of 1-2 $\times 10^6$ K is strongly heated at the slow shocks (see e.g. Cargill and Priest (1982)), so that the outflow jets are hot. Thus, a temperature of $40 \times 10^6$ K seems to be appropriate to assume in the upstream region of the TS (see the discussion in paper II). That results in a thermal electron speed of 24,600 km/s. A jump of the density across the TS of about 2 is deduced from the dynamic radio spectrum of the TS (see Fig. 5 bottom). Here, this jump is assumed to be associated with the bandwidth of the radio signature of the TS in the dynamic radio spectrum (Mann et al. 1995, Vrsnak et al. 2001). Then, a jump of the magnetic field $B_{down}/B_{up} = 2$ and an Alfvén-Mach number $M_A = 3.5$ are found by means of the the Rankine-Hugoniot relationships (Priest 1982). It corresponds to a real shock speed $v_s = 1950$ km/s.

The electron number density $N_e$, the temperature $T$, and the magnetic field $B_{up}$ in the upstream region as well as the shock speed $v_s$, the loss-cone angle $\alpha_{lc}$, the shock area $A_s$, and the angle $\theta$ are the input parameters for calculating the differential flux according to Eq. (14). Figure 3 reveals that the magnetic field aligned flux of accelerated electrons is crucially depending on the angle $\theta$. As already discussed in Section 2, the value of the angle $\theta$ is varying across the TS. Therefore, the resulted flux is finally averaged over the angles $\theta$ according to Eq. (22), where $\theta$ is varying between $85.3^\circ$ and $89.6^\circ$. The upper value is found as discussed in Section 2 i.e. $\theta_{max} = \arccos(v_s/c)$. The lower value is chosen in the following way: The TS is recognized by its radio radiation. That implies the presence of supra-thermal electrons for exciting Langmuir waves (see e.g. Melrose 1985), i.e. their velocity should exceed the thermal speed $v_{the}$. Such electrons are produced by shock drift acceleration if the angle $\theta$ is greater than $\theta_{min} = \arccos(v_{the}/c) = 85.3^\circ$. In result, the so-obtained flux is drawn in Figure 8. It shows an enhanced flux of electrons beyond 10 keV in comparison to the pure Maxwellian population. It provides a production rate $F_\gamma = 7.4 \times 10^{35}$ s$^{-1}$ and a related power $P_\gamma = 4.5 \times 10^{21}$ W for electrons with energies $> 28$ keV. Both values roughly agree with the observed ones, i.e. $F_\gamma = 1.6 \times$
$10^{36}$ s$^{-1}$ and $P_e = 1.5 \times 10^{22}$ W (see above and Warmuth et al. 2007). Note that a close match between the modelled and observed values can be achieved by a modest increase of the density compression across the shock from 2 to 2.5. The local power law index derived from the derivative at a given energy is gradually increasing from 1 to 5 in the range 20-100 keV, but it is sharply increasing for energies $> 100$ keV. The spectra of flare electrons derived from the RHESSI photon spectra by means of a forward-fitting method (Holman et al. 2003) show a broken power law. In result, the theoretically obtained flux of energetic electrons provides a production rate of energetic electrons and the related power in them in agreement with the observations. But the shape of this flux (see Fig. 8) doesn’t show a broken power law but a steeper decay beyond $100$ keV than the observations.

Note, that the dependence of the differential flux of energetic electrons generated by shock drift acceleration and the related production rate $F_e$ and power $P_e$ on the shock parameter is studied in detail in paper II.

Since the total flux of the electrons coming towards the TS is calculated to be $F_{in} = N_e A_v v_e = 1.7 \times 10^{37}$ s$^{-1}$, 4% of the inflowing electrons are accelerated up to energies $> 28$ keV by the proposed mechanism. Assuming a barometric height scale $l_{bar} = 70$ Mm, which corresponds to a temperature of $1.4 \times 10^6$ K, the volume $A_v \cdot l_{bar} = 2.1 \times 10^{24}$ m$^{-3}$ above the TS would completely be evacuated after 35 s, if the electron number density is $2.8 \times 10^{14}$ m$^{-3}$. But, in the framework of the discussed flare scenario (see Fig. 1) plasma is continuously supplied by the lateral inflow through the slow shocks. Since these slow shocks have a great spatial extension, enough electrons are supplied into the outflow region, in order to be finally accelerated at the TS. In the upstream region, the inflowing energy consists of the kinetic energy of the jet, the thermal energy of the electrons and protons, and the magnetic energy. Adopting the parameters given above, the following values $w_{kin} = N_e m_p v_e^2/2 = 1.0$ J m$^{-3}$ ($m_p$, proton mass), $w_{th} = 3N_e k_B T = 0.5$ J m$^{-3}$, and $w_{mag} = B^2/8\pi = 0.01$ J m$^{-3}$ are obtained for the densities of the kinetic, thermal, and magnetic energy of the upstream flow, respectively. Note that the kinetic energy of the reconnection outflow jet is the dominant one in comparison to the other ones. Then, the total inflowing power is found to be $P_{in} = w_{tot} A_v v_e = 8.83 \times 10^{22}$ W with $w_{tot} = w_{kin} + w_{th} + w_{mag}$. In result, 5% of the total energy inflowing towards the TS is finally transferred into the energetic electrons with energies $> 28$ keV, if the electrons are accelerated at the TS.

4. Summary

During solar flares a large amount of electromagnetic radiation from the radio up to the hard X- and $\gamma$-ray range is emitted from the corona. In particular, the huge solar event on October 28, 2003 was accompanied by a strongly enhanced emission of hard X- and $\gamma$-ray radiation (up to $\sim 10$ MeV) during the impulsive phase. These observational facts indicate the generation of highly energetic electrons during this event. These electrons are not only responsible for the nonthermal radio and X-ray radiation but also carry a substantial part of the energy released during flares (Lin & Hudson 1971, Emslie 2004). That is the reason, why the acceleration of electrons up to high energies within a fraction of seconds is one of the open questions in solar physics.

Magnetic reconnection is one possible process for explaining flares in the solar corona. In the framework of this scenario (see Fig. 1), a jet of hot plasma streams away from the reconnection site into the surrounding plasma. If its speed is super-Alfvénic, a shock wave (TS) can be established in the outflow region. For the solar event on October 28, 2003 radio data revealed signatures of such a shock only during the impulsive phase. The simultaneous appearance of enhanced photon fluxes up to 10 MeV and the radio signatures of the TS (see Sect. 2) suggests that the TS is the source of those electrons, which are needed to generate hard X- and $\gamma$-ray radiation.

In the present paper, the highly energetic electrons are considered to be generated by shock drift acceleration at the TS. That requires a fully relativistic treatment as done by Mann et al. (2006) and briefly summarized in Section 2. The resulting distribution function of accelerated electrons has the form of a shifted loss-cone distribution (see, Eq. (9)). Taking such a distribution function the resulting magnetic field aligned differential flux can analytically be given by Eq. (14). Adopting the parameters of the flare plasma as observed for the event on October 28, 2003, the theoretically obtained flux (see Eq. (14)) provides a production rate $F_e = 7.40 \times 10^{35}$ electrons with energies $> 28$ keV per second and is related to a power $P_e = 4.5 \times 10^{21}$ W. These values approximately agree with the observations (see Sect. 3). As discussed above (see Sect. 3), a minor, but not negligible, part (i.e. about 5%) of the inflowing energy is transferred into the energetic ele-
trons by this mechanism. That was originally presumed by Lin & Hudson (1971, 1976) and later on confirmed by Emslie et al. (2004).

Note, that the scenario as illustrated in Figure 1 was found in the observations of the solar event on October 28, 2003, as demonstrated in Figure 6. In paper II, the proposed mechanism was applied and compared with 1053 electron spectra derived from the X-ray spectra as measured by RHESSI during 18 individual flares.

In summary, the proposed model is able to explain the observation at least up to an energy of 100 keV. All these results support the presented mechanism, which was originally proposed by Tsuneta & Naito (1998) and quantitatively treated in the presented paper.
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